VTrans	Suggested	Changes	to	Bill
2014				

H.740 Page 1 of 26

1	H.740
2	VTrans changes shown using track changes with comments in margin
3	Leg. counsel comments in italics and changes in bold
4	Introduced by Representatives Bissonnette of Winooski and Ellis of
5	Waterbury
6	Referred to Committee on
7	Date:
8	Subject: Conservation and development; land use; natural resources;
9	transportation; Act 250; access permits
10	Statement of purpose of bill as introduced: This bill proposes to authorize the
11	District Commissions under 10 V.S.A. chapter 151 (Act 250) and the Agency
12	of Transportation through highway access permits to assess fees to fund
13	improvements to address the transportation impacts of development projects.
14	An act relating to transportation improvement fees
15	It is hereby enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Vermont:
16	Sec. 1. FINDINGS AND INTENT
17	(a) The General Assembly finds that:
18	(1) To issue a land use permit under 10 V.S.A. chapter 151 (Act 250), a
19	District Commission must make required findings, including that the proposed
20	developmentland use project development and subdivision does not cause

1	unreasonable traffic congestion or unsafe traffic conditions and does not
2	materially interfere with or jeopardize the function, safety, and efficiency of
3	Vermont's public highway and transportation systems.
4	(2) To ensure that the developmentland use project development or
5	subdivision meets the statutory requirements related to transportation impacts,
6	District Commissions often require physical improvements or other measures
7	to mitigate those impacts.
8	(3) Because the District Commissions address mitigation on a
9	case-by-case basis, the obligation to mitigate transportation impacts often
10	typically often falls on the developmentland use project development or
11	subdivision whose traffic impacts cause existing traffic conditions to become
12	unsafe or unreasonably congested.
13	(4) This approach, often referred to as "last-one-in," can require an
14	applicant to bear the entire burden of installing mitigation measures that
15	benefit not only the applicant's project, but existing and future
16	developmentland use project developments or subdivisions, as well as
17	regional and statewide through traffic. The potential for this outcome is high
18	in areas that are already developed and experiencing significant traffic
19	volumes.
20	(5) Physical improvements to mitigate transportation impacts can be
21	costly and exceed the cost of a proposed developmentland use project

VTrans	Suggested	Changes	to Bill
2014			

H.740 Page 3 of 26

1	development and subdivision, particularly if the proposal is a small project in
2	an already developed area.
3	(b) In enacting this legislation, the General Assembly intends:
4	(1) to establish an alternative to "the last-one-in" approach that enables
5	the costs to mitigate transportation impacts to be allocated proportionally
6	among the State and the developmentland use projects that have traffic impact
7	and that will benefit from the mitigation;
8	(2) to foster in-fill development, further Vermont's planning goals set
9	forth in 24 V.S.A § 4302, and encourage economic growth by creating a
10	mechanism to apportion the cost of new transportation infrastructure in already
11	developed areas; and
12	(3) to encourage planning for the establishment of transportation
13	improvement districts in which the costs of transportation infrastructure are
14	allocated proportionally and thereby to support economic growth, the
15	construction of needed transportation improvements, and Vermont's planning
16	goals.
17	COMMENT BY LEG. COUNSEL:
18	Most of the changes to "land use project" proposed above are not accurate
19	and are not consistent with the definition of "land use project" used later in
20	the bill. The findings above relate to Act 250 jurisdiction, which applies to
21	"development" and "subdivision," not "land use project." The term land use

1	project is defined later in the bill to include not only developments and
2	subdivisions subject to Act 250, but also to land uses that require a highway
3	access permit, whether or not those projects need an Act 250 permit.
4	Also, the use of the word "typically" rather than "often" is not supported by
5	any statistical analysis submitted by the agencies.
6	Sec. 2. 10 V.S.A. chapter 151, subchapter 5 is added to read:
7	Subchapter 5. Transportation Improvement Fees
8	§ 6101. PURPOSE
9	The purpose of this subchapter is to provide a mechanism to allocate the
10	costs to mitigate the impacts of land use projects to the State transportation
11	system in a manner that is equitable and that supports the planning goals of
12	24 V.S.A. § 4302.
13	§ 6102. DEFINITIONS
14	As used in this subchapter:
15	(1) "Agency" means the Agency of Transportation.
16	(2) "Capacity" means each of the following:
17	(A) the number of vehicles per hour accommodated by transportation
18	infrastructure;
19	(B) the ability of transportation infrastructure to provide and
20	connectivity for pedestrians and cyclists; and

VTrans Suggested Changes to Bill 2014

Comment [j1]: DEC will be able to determine proportionate share for impacts to municipal roads per § 6104

H.740 Page 4 of 26

VTrans	Suggested	Changes	to Bill
2014			

J	H.740
Page 5	of 26

1	(C) the number of people that can be accommodated by bus at levels
2	of service specified for each mode of travel.
3	(3) "Capital Transportation Program" means the multiyear
4	transportation program under 19 V.S.A § 10g as established each year by the
5	General Assembly.
6	(4) "Capital transportation project" means:
7	(A) a physical improvement to the State transportation system or to a
8	municipal highway, right-of-way, or transportation facility; and
9	(B) a study or survey requested or commissioned by a District
10	Commission or the Agency relating to any physical improvement of one or
11	more of the following:
12	(i) the State transportation system; and
13	(ii) a municipal highway, right-of-way, or transportation
14	improvement or facility.
15	(5) "District Commission" shall have the same meaning as under section
16	6001 of this title except that the term also shall include the Board in exercising
17	its authority to make findings of fact and conclusions of law.
18	(6) "Land use project" means any activity requiring a permit under this
19	<u>chapter or 19 V.S.A. § 1111.</u>
20	(7) "Municipality" means a city, town, incorporated village or
21	unorganized town or gore.

VTrans Suggested Changes to Bill 2014

H.740 Page 6 of 26

1	(8) "Pass-by trips" means existing traffic attracted that is present on a
2	roadway adjacent to a proposed land use project from an adjacent
3	roadway for reasons other than accessing the project and that enters the
4	project.
5	COMMENT BY LEG. COUNSEL:
6	The language proposed here needs work and suggestions are made above in
7	bold. The proposed phrase "existing traffic" is ambiguous because it could
8	refer to traffic in existence when the bill passes, in existence before the project
9	is built, or that would exist regardless of the project. The use of the word
10	"attracted" is not precise; someone could be "attracted" to a project without
11	actually turning their vehicle into it. The term "proposed land use" should be
12	"land use project" because that is a defined term for the proposed subchapter
13	and makes sense in this location.
14	(98) "Performance standards" means quantitative or qualitative indicia
15	of the ability of transportation infrastructure to achieve an objective such as
16	reducing major crashes or accommodating a volume of traffic at a specified
17	average delay per vehicle. The term includes indicia that are specific to the
18	area in which transportation infrastructure is or is to be located.
19	(10) "Regional Planning Commission" shall have the same meaning as
20	under section 4303 of title 24. 24 V.S.A. § 4303.
21	(119) "Secretary" means the Secretary of Transportation or designee.

Comment [j2]: Referred to in Section § 6106 related to how the fee is calculated and adjusted

VTrans	Suggested	Changes	to Bill
2014		_	

H.740 Page 7 of 26

1	(1210) "State transportation system" means the highways, rights-of-
2	way, and transportation facilities under the jurisdiction of the Agency or any
3	other agency of the State and does not include highways, rights-of-way, and
4	transportation facilities under the jurisdiction of a municipality.
5	(1311) "Transportation Demand Management" or "TDM" means
6	measures that reduce vehicle trips or redistribute vehicle trips to non-peak
7	times or other areas. Examples include telecommuting, incentives to carpool
8	or ride public transit, and staggered work shifts.
9	(1412) "Transportation fee" means an impact fee that is assessed to a
10	land use project as a condition of a permit issued under this chapter or a State
11	highway access permit under 19 V.S.A. § 1111 and is used to support any
12	portion of the costs of an completed or planned capital transportation project
13	that will benefit or is attributable to the land use project.
14	COMMENT BY LEG. COUNSEL:
15	Defining "transportation fee" as an "impact fee" does not appear to add
16	anything to the bill and, since the term is not defined, could invite differing
17	interpretations and litigation.
18	The language "that will benefit or is attributable to the land use project" is not
19	itself problematic but it is not the same as language used later in the bill that
20	would need to be changed to match up. See comments and suggested changes
21	re §§ 6104 and 6106.

Comment [j3]: Per §6105 (e) the fee remains in place as long as the capital project on which the fee is based has capacity (still meets the performance standards). Therefore, it is possible for a capital project to be completed or planned.

VTrans Suggested Changes to I	3ill
2014	

	I	H.7	40
Page	8	of	26

1	(1543) "Transportation Improvement District" or "TID" means a
2	discreet discrete geographic area that is served includes and will benefit from
3	by one or more capital transportation projects included in the Capital
4	Transportation Program and for which the Agency has established a
5	transportation fee under this subchapter.
6	(1614) "Vehicle trips" means the number of trips by motorized
7	conveyance generated by a proposed land use project measured at a specific
8	place and for a specific duration. The ownership of and number of persons
9	within the conveyance shall be irrelevant.
10	§ 6103. AUTHORITY
11	A District Commission or and the Agency may assess a transportation fee in
12	accordance with this subchapter.
13	COMMENT BY LEG. COUNSEL:
14	It would be better to use "or" here, as originally written, than "and," because
15	"and" could be read to mean that a transportation fee may not be assessed
16	unless both the District Commission and the Agency agree on the fee, while the
17	rest of the bill indicates that the agencies each may do so through their
18	respective permit processes.
19	In the alternative, § 6103 could be deleted because it is redundant with the
20	authority given in §§ 6104 and 6105. Subsequent sections and cross-
21	references would need to be renumbered.

1	§ 6104. TRANSPORTATION FEE; DISTRICT COMMISSION
2	(a) A District Commission may require payment of a transportation fee in
3	accordance with section 6106 of this title to fund, in whole or in part, capital
4	improvements that are necessary to mitigate the transportation impacts of a
5	proposed developmentland use project development or subdivision or that
6	benefit the proposed development or subdivision. The Agency shall review
7	the application and recommend to the District Commission whether to require
8	mitigation of the transportation impacts of the developmentland use project
9	development or subdivision. The District Commission may require an
10	applicant to pay the entire cost of a capital transportation project.
11	COMMENT BY LEG. COUNSEL:
12	Earlier, VTrans proposes that a transportation fee can be assessed for a
13	project benefits or is attributable to a project. If this is the intent, then
14	language on the "benefit" concept also should be included here.
15	(b) A District Commission may require an applicant for a
16	developmentland use project development or subdivision within a TID to
17	pay the transportation fee established by the Secretary if the Commission
18	determines that the fee will fund, in whole or in part, improvements to that
19	mitigate transportation impacts of the developmentland use project
20	development or subdivision or that benefit the development or subdivision.

VTrans	Suggested	Changes	to	Bill
2014				

H.740 Page 10 of 26

1	(c) The authority granted to the District Commissions under this subchapter
2	is in addition to their other authority.
3	COMMENT BY LEG. COUNSEL:
4	Again, Act 250 jurisdiction, applies to "development" and "subdivision," not
5	"land use project." The term land use project is defined in the bill to include
6	not only developments and subdivisions subject to Act 250, but also to land
7	uses that require a highway access permit, whether or not those projects need
8	an Act 250 permit. This section, however, relates solely to projects requiring
9	an Act 250 permit.
10	§ 6105. TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT AND FEE;
11	AGENCY OF TRANSPORTATION
12	(a) The Secretary may establish a TID and transportation fee in accordance
13	with this section and section 6106 of this title if one or more capital
14	transportation projects in the most recent Capital Transportation Program will
15	provide capacity that benefits one or more future land use projects within a
16	discrete discrete geographic area or will provide capacity for future land use
17	projects identified by a regional planning commission or municipality within a
18	defined discreet geographic area.
19	(b) To establish a TID and transportation fee, the Secretary shall cause the
20	Agency to issue a proposed TID and transportation fee.

VTrans Suggested Changes to Bill 2014

1

H.740 Page 11 of 26

2 regional planning commission-commission, municipality and the public in 3 which the TID will be located on the geographic extent of the TID, the land 4 use assumptions to be used, the performance standards and the consistency of 5 the proposal with each applicable municipal and regional plan. (2) The Agency shall prepare a transportation infrastructure plan that 6 7 identifies highway, transit, bike bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure needs of 8 a proposed TID. 9 **COMMENT BY LEG. COUNSEL:** 10 The Agency-created TID and transportation fee are only authorized under the 11 bill for capital transportation projects in the Capital Transportation Program. 12 The introduction of a separate "transportation infrastructure plan" seems at 13 odds with that concept. 14 The Agency's proposal shall identify the recommended discreet geographic 15 extent of the TID, the proposed performance standards within the TID, and the 16 proposed transportation fee in accordance with §6106. The infrastructure plan 17 shall be prepared following sound follow generally accepted planning and 18 engineering standards. and will demonstrate that the Agency's proposal satisfies rational nexus and proportionality principles. The proposed fee 19 20 shall reflect a reasonable relationship between the needs that the 21 transportation infrastructure plan is designed to meet and the benefits

(1) In preparing the proposal, the Agency shall consult with each

Comment [i4]: Requires consultation with municipalities as well as RPCs, and includes performance standards in the list of issues for consultation

Comment [j5]: Added requirement to develop TID extent and fee based on a transportation infrastructure plan. This will allow for peer review of geographic extent of district, fee calculation.

1	that will be provided to or the impacts attributable the proposed land use
2	projects to which the fee will be assessed. The performance standard for a
3	TID shall be suitable for the area in which the TID is located.
4	COMMENT BY LEG. COUNSEL:
5	The proposal to have the infrastructure plan meet "sound" standards
6	could invite litigation on whether the district and fee should be invalidated
7	because the standards were unsound, thereby substituting the court's judgmen
8	for the Agency's. An alternative suggestion is "generally accepted"
9	standards.
10	The proposal that the infrastructure plan meet "rational nexus and
11	proportionality principles" is problematic in at least two respects. First, the
12	described principles would apply to a fee not to an infrastructure plan.
13	Second, to date, the Vermont Supreme Court has not adopted the rational
14	nexus test, declining to address it in the context of a municipal impact fee.
15	<u>Ropes v Town of Hartford</u> , 161 Vt 187 (1993).
16	Therefore, alternative language is suggested that the fee should bear a
17	reasonable relationship between the needs to be addressed and the benefits
18	provided to or impacts of the project.
19	(3) On issuance of the proposal, the Agency shall provide notice of a
20	public hearing on the proposal before the Secretary. The notice shall include
21	the date and location of the hearing, a description of the TID including the

Trans Suggested Changes to Bill	
014	

H.740 Page 13 of 26

1	capital transportation infrastructure project or projects, the TID's geographic
2	extent, and the proposed transportation fee. The Agency shall provide the
3	notice to each property owner within the TID, the municipal legislative body
4	and municipal and regional planning commissions for the area in which the
5	TID is located, and shall publish the notice on its web page and in a newspaper
6	of general circulation in the geographic area of the TID. The date of the public
7	hearing shall be not less than 30 days after issuance and publication of the
8	notice.
9	(4) The Secretary shall hold a public hearing and take testimony on the
10	Agency's proposal. The Secretary shall provide an opportunity for members
11	of the public and affected property owners to testify.
12	(5) After completing the public hearing, the Secretary may approve,
13	approve with revisions, or deny the Agency's proposal. The Secretary's
14	approval shall establish the proposed TID and transportation fee, with any
15	revisions required by the Secretary.
16	(c) The Secretary shall consider the following to establish The the
17	boundaries of athe TID: shall include:
18	(1) the existing and planned pattern of development as set forth in the
19	municipal, regional, or related transportation plans.;-
20	QUESTION BY LEG. COUNSEL:

Comment [j6]: Strengthens requirement for consistency with RPC and municipal plans

March 10, 2014 Draft VTrans

What is a "related" transportation plan?

21

VTrans	Suggested	Changes	to Bill
2014			

	H.740
Page	14 of 26

1	(\frac{12}{2}) the future land use projects to be served by the capital
2	transportation projects that the TID will fund; and
3	(23) each land use project having transportation impacts that are
4	mitigated by a capital transportation project to serve the TID.
5	(d) The Agency may assess a transportation fee to each land use project
6	within a TID for which a State highway access permit is required under
7	19 V.S.A. § 1111. This subsection shall not apply to a development land
8	use project development or subdivision requiring a permit under section 6081
9	of this title.
10	(e) The TID and transportation fee shall expire after the Secretary
11	determines that the associated capital transportation project or projects no
12	longer meet the approved performance standards.
13	§ 6106. TRANSPORTATION FEE; FORMULA
14	(a) When assessing a transportation fee to a land use project, the Secretary
15	shall apply a formula that reflects the performance standards for the TID, and
16	the District Commission shall apply a formula that reflects those performance
17	standards or the mitigation that the Commission determines is required to
18	address the transportation impacts of the development land use project
19	development or subdivision. In either case, the formula shall account for each
20	of the following:

VTrans	Suggested	Changes	to	Bill
2014				

H.740 Page 15 of 26

1	(1) the venicle trips generated by the fand use project estimated pursuant
2	to a reasonably generally accepted methodology the estimated vehicle trips
3	generated by the land use project;
4	COMMENT BY LEG. COUNSEL:
5	It is not clear what a "reasonably" accepted methodology would be – the
6	phrase could suggest that there are accepted methodologies that are
7	nonetheless unreasonable. An alternative suggestion is "generally" accepted.
8	(2) the capital costs of highway infrastructure, pedestrian and bicycle
9	facilities, public transportation, and other transportation infrastructure
10	the capital transportation project or projects that benefit or mitigate the
11	transportation impacts of the land use project;
12	COMMENT BY LEG. COUNSEL
13	§§ 6104 and 6105 authorize transportation fees to support capital
14	transportation projects and the terminology should be consistent. If the
15	definition of capital transportation project is intended to include all of the
16	items listed above, that could be made clear in the definition.
17	(3) the planning and administration costs related to administration of
18	the transportation fee and, if established, the TID; and
19	(43) conditions not attributable to the transportation impacts of the land
20	use project including forecasted growth in background traffic and existing
21	infrastructure and capacity deficiencies. the capacity necessary to meet the

Comment [j7]: clarifies that developer is not responsible to fix existing issues, or the cost to provide capacity for background growth, traffic from development outside the TID and traffic from development inside a TID that does not pay the fee (not subject to Act 250 or require a state access permit)

March 10, 2014 Draft

VTrans Suggested Changes to Bill H.740
2014 Page 16 of 26

performance standards under existing conditions, including background traffic

1

2 not related to the land use project (4) the proportional share of the capital costs of each capital 3 transportation infrastructure project that provides benefit to or is attributable 4 5 to the transportation impacts of the land use project, and determined pursuant to a reasonably generally accepted methodology; and 6 7 **COMMENT BY LEG. COUNSEL:** 8 Earlier, VTrans proposes that a transportation fee can be assessed for a 9 project benefits or is attributable to a project. If this is the intent, then language on the "benefit" concept also should be included here. 10 11 (5) other funding sources available to finance the capital transportation 12 project. 13 (b) When determining a transportation fee under this section for a land use 14 project, the Secretary or the District Commission may adjust the result of the 15 formula to account for one or more of the following: 16 (1) a traffic allocation, if any, set for the land use project by a prior 17 permit; 18 (2) the net change in vehicle trip generation of a proposed land use 19 project considering existing traffic and pass-by-trips 20 **QUESTIONS BY LEG. COUNSEL:**

Comment [j8]: added to strengthen proportional and rational nexus principles

Comment [j9]: added to allow the calculation of fee to take into consideration other available funding sources

Comment [j10]: added to clarify that this section guides adjustments for specific land use projects to the overall TID fee determined in Section 6106 (a).

VTrans	Suggested	Changes to	Bill
2014			

H.740 Page 17 of 26

1	1. Why is this placed here under adjustments in subsection $(b)(1)$?
2	Should it not be placed in subsection (a)(1) regarding vehicle trips generated?
3	2. What is meant by "existing traffic?" Traffic that would be present
4	regardless of the land use project?
5	(23) municipal traffic impact fees paid by the applicant to the extent that
6	those fees fund improvements on which the transportation fee is based;
7	(4) the fair market value of dedications of land, interests in land or
8	transportation infrastructure improvements provided by the developer to
9	mitigate offsite traffic impacts also being addressed by the capital
10	transportation project which the fee is designed to support;
11	COMMENT BY LEG. COUNSEL:
12	VTrans suggests this language to give the developer credit for "related" offsite
13	improvements, but the language proposed does not require that they be
14	"related." Language is therefore suggested to ensure there is a relationship.
15	(35) TDM programs offered by the applicant that reduce vehicle trips;
16	(46) the siting of a proposed land use project in a downtown, village
17	center, new town center, growth center, Vermont neighborhood, or
18	neighborhood development area designated under 24 V.S.A. chapter 76A; and
19	(5) any other factor that the Agency or District Commission finds
20	appropriate.

Comment [j11]: Gives developers credit for related offsite improvement they make

VTrans	Suggested	Changes	to Bill
2014			

H.740 Page 18 of 26

1	(c) A transportation fee for one or more capital transportation projects in a
2	TID shall not exceed the portion of the cost of each capital transportation
3	project that is required to mitigate the transportation impacts of the land use
4	project and shall not include costs attributable to the operation, administration,
5	or maintenance of the capital transportation project.
6	(d) An applicant may choose to fund the entire cost of a capital
7	transportation project.
8	(e) In assessing a transportation fee to an applicant under this subchapter,
9	the Agency or District Commission shall require the applicant to pay the
10	transportation fee prior to commencement of construction of the applicant's
11	land use project and shall not require the applicant to delay commencement of
12	construction of that project until construction of each capital transportation
13	project for which the fee was assessed, unless the Agency or District
14	Commission determines that the capital transportation project must first be
15	built to address a transportation safety issue caused or exacerbated by the land
16	use project.
17	§ 6107. TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT FUND
18	(a) There is created a special fund within the transportation fund known as the
19	Transportation Improvement District Fund. The Transportation Improvement
20	District Fund is created as a special fund in the State treasury. The Agency

Comment [j12]: VTrans recommended in 2/12 testimony to HTC

VTrans	Suggested	Changes	to Bill
2014			

H.740 Page 19 of 26

1	shall deposit into the Fund each transportation fee it receives under this
2	subchapter. The Agency shall administer the Fund.
3	(b) Balances in the Fund shall be expended only for the purposes
4	authorized in this subchapter and shall not be used for the general obligations
5	of government. All balances in the Fund at the end of any fiscal year shall be
6	carried forward and remain part of within the Fund. Interest earned by the
7	Fund shall be deposited in the Fund.
8	(c) The Agency shall provide an annual accounting to the Treasurer of each
9	transportation fee showing the source, amount collected, and each project that
10	was funded or that will be funded with the fee. Within 15 years from the
11	date of fee payment, the Agency shall spend the fee on the capital
12	transportation project or projects in the appropriate TID or on the
13	appropriate capital transportation project for which the fee was paid. If
14	the Agency does not spend all or portion of the fee collected on the
15	appropriate capital transportation project or projects, the applicant or its
16	successors may apply to the Agency for a refund of the proportionate
17	share of that fee within one year of the date on which the applicant's right
18	to claim the refund accrued.
19	COMMENT BY LEG. COUNSEL:
20	It may be advisable to move the bolded language above to replace § 6109
21	below, which Vtrans proposes to strike, and make it applicable to all

VTrans	Suggested	Changes	to Bill
2014			

21

H.740 Page 20 of 26

1	transportation fees assessed under this subchapter, and not just those paid to
2	the Agency. The bill currently does not have a time limit or refund provision
3	for transportation fees assessed by District Commissions that may be used to
4	support, for example, municipal projects.
5	§ 6108. PAYMENT OF FEES
6	(a) An applicant shall pay a transportation fee assessed under this
7	subchapter shall be paid to the Agency, except that a District Commission may
8	direct an applicant to pay a transportation fee to a municipality another fund if
9	the impacts of the applicant's development and use project or subdivision
10	impacts are limited to municipal highways and rights-of-way or other
11	municipal transportation facilities. The Agency may require payment of a
12	transportation fee prior to issuance of a State highway access permit under 19
13	V.S.A. § 1111. A District Commission may require payment of a
14	transportation fee prior to issuance of a land use permit under this chapter.
15	(b) A District Commission or the Agency respectively may authorize the
16	payment of a transportation fee on installment at the rate of interest established
17	pursuant to 9 V.S.A. § 41a or such other rate as the Treasurer may approve.
18	(c) A District Commission or the Agency respectively may require a letter
19	of credit or other security to guarantee future payment of a transportation fee or
20	otherwise guarantee the construction of a capital transportation project.

Comment [j13]: VTrans recommended deletion during testimony on 2/12 to HTC . Too cumbersome to track loan payments.

1	The Agency shall proportionally refund the unexpended portion of
2	transportation fees collected for a capital transportation project within one year
3	of the completion of the project's construction if the actual expense incurred
4	by the State on the capital transportation project is less than the fees collected
5	for the project.
6	COMMENT BY LEG. COUNSEL:
7	Pls see above comment under § 6107.
8	§ 6110. APPEALS
9	(a) A person aggrieved by a decision of the Secretary regarding the
10	establishment of a TID or the transportation fee for the TID may appeal to the
11	Civil Division of the Superior Court under Rule 74 of the Vermont Rules of
12	Civil Procedure.
13	(b) A permit issued by the Agency under 19 V.S.A. § 1111 may be
14	appealed in accordance with 19 V.S.A. § 5.
15	(c) Appeal of an act or decision of a District Commission under this
16	subchapter shall be pursuant to section 6089 of this title.

VTrans Suggested Changes to Bill

17

18

19

20

§ 6111. RULEMAKING

Sec. 3. 19 V.S.A. § 1111(a) is amended to read:

this subchapter.

Comment [j14]: VTrans recommended deletion during testimony on 2/12 to HTC It is very unlikely there will be unused funds for transportation projects that are constructed to justify the admin burden of tracking this over many years.

H.740

Page 21 of 26

March 10, 2014 Draft VTrans

The Board and the Agency may adopt rules to implement the provisions of

VTrans	Suggested	Changes	to Bill
2014			

H.740 Page 22 of 26

(a) Permits. Permits must be obtained by anyone or any corporation
wishing to use as described in this section any part of the highway right-of-way
on either the state State or town system. Notwithstanding any other statutory
requirement, a permit shall be required for any use of any highway
right-of-way, consistent with the provisions of this section. <u>In issuing a permit</u>
under this section for a use of a State highway right-of-way, the Secretary may
require a transportation fee in accordance with 10 V.S.A. chapter 151,
subchapter 5. The Except for this transportation fee authority of the Secretary,
the authority given to the board Board, the secretary Secretary, and the
attorney general Attorney General under this section shall also apply to the
legislative bodies of towns, or their designees.
Sec. 4. STUDY; TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS;
- REPORT
(a) Creation. The Working Group on Transportation Improvement Districts
(the Working Group) is created to study and report to the General Assembly on
the role of regional planning commissions (RPC) in the establishment of
transportation improvement districts (TID) and on other issues related to TIDs
as set forth in this section.
(b) Membership. The Working Group shall be composed of the following

Comment [j15]: VTrans recommended deletion during testimony on 2/12 to HTC. Not necessary with language that requires consultation with RPCs and municipalities on TID and fee in § 6105 (b)(1)

I	(1) the Secretary of Transportation or designee, who shall chair the
2	committee;
3	(2) the Secretary of Commerce and Community Development or
4	designee;
5	(3) the Commissioner of the Department of Housing and Community
6	Development or designee;
7	(4) the Chair of the Natural Resources Board or designee;
8	(5) two members of the Vermont Planning and Development
9	Association appointed by the Association;
10	(6) a member designated by the Vermont League of Cities and Towns;
11	(7) two current members of the House of Representatives appointed by
12	the Speaker of the House;
13	(8) two current members of the Senate appointed by the Committee on
14	<u>Committees;</u>
15	(9) two persons engaged in the business of land development appointed
16	by the Governor;
17	(10) one member appointed by the Governor to represent environmental
18	and smart growth organizations; and
19	(11) one member designated by the Vermont Chamber of Commerce.
20	(c) Powers and duties. The Working Group shall study the role of RPCs in
21	establishing TIDs and associated transportation performance standards and

1	transportation fees and other issues related to TIDs, including each of the
2	following:
3	(1) the statutes applicable to RPCs and the administrative
4	responsibilities and technical capabilities of RPCs in relation to the planning
5	and administrative requirements necessary to establish and manage a TID and
6	associated transportation fees;
7	(2) the requirements and procedures associated with municipal
8	development review and impact fees under 24 V.S.A. chapters 117 and 153
9	and how RPCs could assist with coordinating between these local land use
10	permitting activities and the establishment of TIDs by the State;
11	(3) the appropriate scale, size, and performance standards for TIDs; and
12	(4) potential incentives to encourage development in a TID in
13	accordance with the goals of 24 V.S.A. § 4302.
14	(d) Assistance. The Working Group shall have the administrative,
15	technical, and legal assistance of the Agencies of Transportation and of
16	Commerce and Community Development and of the Natural Resources Board.
17	(e) Report. On or before December 1, 2014, the Working Group shall
18	submit a written report to the House Committees on Commerce and Economic
19	Development, on Natural Resources and Energy, and on Transportation, and
20	the Senate Committees on Economic Development, Housing, and General
21	Affairs, on Natural Resources and Energy, and on Transportation with the
	I control of the cont

1	Working Group's findings and any recommendations for legislative action.
2	This report shall include recommendations for consideration by the General
3	Assembly on the role of RPCs and municipalities in the planning and
4	establishment of TIDs and associated transportation performance standards and
5	transportation fees and any additional capabilities, resources, and statutory
6	changes the Working Group concludes would be necessary to support the
7	recommended role.
8	(f) Meetings.
9	(1) The Secretary of Transportation shall call the first meeting of the
10	Working Group to occur on or before July 1, 2014.
11	(2)(A) A majority of the members of the Working Group shall be
12	physically present at the same location to constitute a quorum.
13	(B) A member may vote only if physically present at the meeting
14	location.
15	(C) Action shall be taken only if there is both a quorum and a
16	majority vote of the members physically present and voting.
17	(3) The Working Group shall cease to exist on February 15, 2015.
18	(g) Reimbursement.
19	(1) For attendance at meetings during adjournment of the General
20	Assembly, legislative members of the Working Group shall be entitled to per

1	diem compensation and reimbursement of expenses pursuant to 2 V.S.A. § 406
2	for no more than four meetings.
3	(2) Other members of the Working Group who are not employees of the
4	State of Vermont and who are not otherwise compensated or reimbursed for
5	their attendance shall be entitled to per diem compensation and reimbursement
6	of expenses pursuant to 32 V.S.A. § 1010 for no more than four meetings. The
7	costs of compensation and reimbursement under this subdivision (2) shall be
8	allocated equally to the Agencies of Transportation and of Commerce and
9	Community Development and of the Natural Resources Board.
10	Sec. <u>4</u> 5. EFFECTIVE DATES
11	(a) This section and Sec. 4 (study; regional planning commissions;
12	transportation improvement districts; report) shall take effect on passage.
13	(b) The remainder of this act shall take effect on July 1, 2014.

If Sec. 4 is deleted, then none of the act needs to take effect on passage.

VTrans Suggested Changes to Bill

COMMENT BY LEG. COUNSEL:

2014

14

15

16

Comment [j16]: VTrans F&A would like more time to establish fee collection and management protocols

H.740 Page 26 of 26